

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,178	09/08/2003	Kazuhiro Kuroda	117049	8399
25944 7.	590 08/29/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BEI	RRIDGE, PLC		DUONG, 1	ΓHANH P
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1764	
		DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/656,178	KURODA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tom P. Duong	1764		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. FD (35 U.S.C. & 133)		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Se	eptember 2003.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Correction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/8/03; 4/4/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-5, 7, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Publication Number 09-317452 (hereinafter JPN '452). Regarding claims 1-5, 7-9, and 11, JPN '452 discloses an exhaust-gas purifying apparatus (Fig. 3), comprising: mantle (41); a support (43) disposed in the mantle, shape selected from the group consisting of cylinder shapes and corrugated shapes (Figs. 5-7); and a catalytic layer loaded on at least one of the surfaces of support (Section 0013); wherein the support comprises at least two support elements disposed in the axial direction of the mantle, and the two support elements neighboring the axial direction the mantle are disposed out of phase with each other in the peripheral direction of the mantle (Fig. 3); wherein the supports are formed of "S" and "U" shapes (Figs. 5, 7 and 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/656,178

Art Unit: 1764

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. JPN '452 in view of Pfefferle (4,402,662) and WO 99/64732. Regarding claims 6 and 10, JPN '452 essentially discloses the claimed invention except a support with a cut-off for expansion. Pfefferle '662 teaches it is desirable to provide the catalytic structure with a with slot 4 or "C" shaped slit cylinder and perforated holes (2) configuration to provide a catalytic structure that resists thermal shock from temperature gradient between the internal portion 3 and external portion 4 and improves radial flow passage. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Pfefferle to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of JPN '452 with a ring-shaped cross-section with a cut-off and perforations as taught by Pfefferle in order to minimize thermal shock. Likewise. WO 99/64732 teaches the benefits of providing a compressible slotted cylinder 10 with perforations (page 5, lines 28-32) to facilitate in inserting into the exhaust pipe and the friction force exerted between the outer surface of slotted cylinder 10 and the inner surface of the exhaust pipe holds the slotted cylinder 10 in placed (Figures 2 and 4). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of WO 99/64732 to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the cylinder body of JPN '452 with the slot or cut off and perforations as taught by WO 99/64732 in order to gain the above benefits.

Art Unit: 1764

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-2 and 5-11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-6, and 9-10 of copending Application No. 10/656,121. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the copending application claims substantially the same subject matter as the instant application. The term "mantle" of the instant claim is an obvious alternative language to the term "outer cylinder" of the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,178

Art Unit: 1764

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tom P. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2794. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tom Duong August 24, 2006

7b

Gienn Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700